

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alranditi, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/068,597	02/06/2002	Frank R. Nissel	1159-CIP-99	8280	
7	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER		
IP Department			EASHOO, MARK		
Schnader Harri	son Segal & Lewis				
36th Floor	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1600 Market Street			1732		
Philadelphia, I	A 19103		DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Surrey	10/068,597	NISSEL, FRANK R	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Falle Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. P period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) days, a report of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir edp atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fre c. cause the application to become ABADIC	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this com	munication.
Status				•
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	April 2004		
		s action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		erosecution as to the r	norite ic
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under	Fy narte Quavle 1935 C.D. 11	453 O.C. 213	ilenis is
Disnosit	ion of Claims	=x parto quayro, 1000 0.5. 11,	400 0.0. 210.	
-				
4)[	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
e/C3	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2002</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examine	r.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1,85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the All States of the States of the International Bureau the All States of the International Bureau the All States of the International Bureau the Internatio	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National St	age
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/02.	4) ∐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail C	y (PTO-413)	52)
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re	5.****	tion Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Da	e 250504

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1-7, filed 19-APR-2004, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 8-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claim grouping, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the papers filed 19-APR-2004.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, II F.3d 1046, 29 USP02d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USP0 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USP0 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USP0 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USP0 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR I.32l(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR I.130(b).

Effective January I, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7, respectively, of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

In general, claim I of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285 is not identical, but only minor differences in terminology occur. For example: the term "aligned" (li. 4) is now presented as – designed - ; the term "oriented" (li. 7) is now presented as – positioned - .

These variations in terminology are essentially are matters of degree and the instant terms are generally broader than the terms of claim I of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285 and effectively read on the terms since a species anticipates a genus.

Art Unit: 1732

Furthermore, the limitation of "a plurality of cooling roll supports..." is not present in the instant claims, However, the limitation is inherent in the instant claim because some form of roll support is required,

Claims 2-6 of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285 are substantially identical to instant claims 2-6.

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark
Eashoo, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1197. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3pm EST, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Eashoo, Ph.O. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

oil Junlot

6/1/04

me